





PROJECT DATA SHEET

EU STRATEGY FOR THE DANUBE REGION. PILLAR 2. PRIORITY AREAS 4, 5, 6

A - Project Status

1. Project title (long title and acronym, if any)

Strengthening cooperation between river basin management planning and flood risk prevention to enhance the status of waters of the Tisza River basin. (JOINTisza)

2. Main Priority Area and Action under Pillar 2 to which the project idea is to be submitted to

PA 4.02 To greatly strengthen cooperation at sub-basin level

3. Other Priority Areas and Actions under Pillar 2 the project is contributing to (please select three at maximum). In case the project adresses also other Priority Areas, please list them in field 19.

PA 4.03 To continue to invest in and support the information collection systems already developed by ICPDR

PA 5.01 To develop and adopt one single overarching floods management plan at basin level or a set of flood risk management plans coordinated at the level of the international river basin

PA 5.08 To develop spatial planning and construction activities in the context of climate change and increased threats of floods

4. Current Project Phase

Project in preparation (e.g. project proposal, feasability study)

B - Project description

5. Project relevance (Please outline the relevance and necessity from a macro-regional perspective. What are the benefits of the project for the implementation of the EUSDR?)

The project shall focus on the interaction of two key actors, the river basin management and flood protection sectors also taken into account relevant stakeholders playing important role in river basin management planning in the Tisza River Basin. The overall aim is to improve integration process of transnational water management and flood risk prevention under climate change, and to integrate results to the next river basin management planning cycle (2021) under the relevant EU legislations. The project should be elaborated by means of joint action of five countries (Ukraine, Slovakia, Hungary, Romania, Serbia) sharing the Tisza River Basin area, and carried out by partners representing a broad range of sectors. The aim is to better integrate measures of already existing river basin management and flood management plans and utilise outcomes of the proposed project (2nd ITRBMP with FRM, Drought management Strategy, support to urban hydrology, etc).

6. Project general and specific objectives

General objective is to Strengthening cooperation between the relevant actors of the sub-basin management planning process especially with actors from flood risk prevention/ flood protection sector to enhance the status of waters of the basin. Specific objective is to focus on Tisza-basin specialities, such as drought, water scarcity, threatening contamination and Tisza Survey preparation.

7. Proposed project activities and their location(s). (Please list main and sub-activities). The most part of the project, its activities and its related impacts should be located in the Danube Region.

The location of the project is the Tisza River sub-basin, incl.Ukraine, Slovakia, Hungary, Romania, Serbia The main activities are: (1)Project management (2) Communication and dissemination activities(3) Characterization of the basin and assessment of quality of waters – focus on Surface Waters (SW) (4) Water resource mgt and Groundwater (GW) incl GW quality issues(5) Flood Risk mgt integration into River basin mgt (6) Synthesis.

8. Expected results and outcomes (Please	use measurable indicators	, where available.)
--	---------------------------	---------------------

The results of the overall project will be the strengthened cooperation between the relevant actors of the river basin management planning process specially with actors from flood risk prevention/ flood protection sector.

The main output is the jointly developed integrated Tisza River Basin Management Plan with flood management, drought management, urban hydrology and water scarcity handling.

9. Target groups (Who is affected by the project results, who will use the project results?)

The main target groups are river and flood risk management planners and actors of the policy planning process. The outputs of the project will in one hand facilitate the better cooperation between the relevant actors in other hand will also summarize the outcomes of discussion process held during the project duration.

10. Project timeframe (foreseen starting and ending date in mm/yy. If not available mention the duration in number of months)

30 months (the best starting date would be January 2017)

C - Links to other Programmes/Policies

11. Link with other projects or actions already undertaken (if relevant please list the project title, acronym, name of Lead Partner, status of the project: financed, under implementation, closed, planned to be submitted, etc.)

The project link to the outcomes of the Floodrisk project, financed under the SEE programme, leaded by Ministry of Environment, Bucharest, Romania. This project was aimig at to develop and produce high quality, stakeholder oriented flood hazard and flood risk maps for the transnational Danube river floodplains to provide adequate risk information for spatial planning and economic development activities.

12. Relevant international/EU directives and national legislation the project addresses (e.g. Water Framework Directive, EU Biodiversity Strategy). Also connections to relevant international organisations (e.g. ICPDR, WWF, Carpathian Convention) should be mentioned here.

Integrated Tisza River Basin Management Plan (ICPDR - 2011)

Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy (the WFD)

Blueprint 2010 Safeguard the Europe's Waters

Directive

2007/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2007 on the assessment and management of flood risks (Flood risks directive)

EU Strategy for the Danube Region :

D - Project Budget and Funding

13. Approximate total project budget (in EUR)

max. 3.000.000.-

14. Potential sources of funding (if appropriate, indicate funding programme and specific call, as well as the amount of own sources available)

Danube Transnational Programme - financing instrument of the European Territorial Cooperation (ETC). The Danube Transnational Programme finances projects for the development and practical implementation of policy frameworks, tools and services and concrete small-scale pilot investments. Strong complementarities with the broader EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR) are sought.

E - Partnership and Contact

15. (Proposed) Lead Partner (Responsible institution/body)

General Directorate of Water Management (OVF) Budapest, HU

16. Contact details of responsible person (Letter of Recommendation shall be sent to)

Mr László Perger, OVF, Chief Advisor for Water

Priority General

Area 4 Coordinator of the EU Strategy for the Danube Region

Directorate of Water Management (OVF)

Interior Ministry of Hungary

17. Project Partners (Name and country, Status committed to be involved / already involved)

LP: OVF - General Directorate of Water Management (HU)

PP1: National Administration "Apele Romane" (RO)

PP2: Ministry of Environment, Water and Forests (RO)

PP3: National Institute of Hydrology and Water Management (RO)

PP4: Výskumný ústav vodného hospodárstva - Water Research Institute (SK)

PP5: Slovenský Vodohospodársky Podnik, š.p. - Slovak Water Management Enterprise (SK) PP6:

SHMU - Slovak Hydrometeorological Institute (SK)

PP7: Jaroslav Cerni Insitute (RS)

PP8: PWC "Vode Vojvodine" (RS)

PP9: Ministry of Agriculture and Environmental Protection Water - RS

PP10: REC - Internat org (HU)

18. Project Partner wanted (please specify type and country / region of partners you are looking for)

and reasons for rejection, status of project development, information on project meetings, public relations, involvement of policy making bodies etc.)
A project proposal (ProTisza) has been submitted to the 4th (last) call of the Central Europe 2007-2013 Programme and has been rejected due to the lack of sources, and also because of the unbalanced partner composition. The final title and acronym of the project might change during the proposal development process.
G - For PAC use only - Result of Assessment and Steering Group Decision

F - Closing remarks